# BEFORE THE BOARD OF REGISTERED NURSING DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:

CYNTHIA HERNANDEZ 40393 Fabrizio Ct. Indio, CA 92203

78880 Sanita Drive La Quinta, CA 92253

Registered Nurse License No. 591197

Respondent

Case No. 2012-178

OAH No. 2011120866

#### **DECISION AND ORDER**

The attached Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order for Public Reproval is hereby adopted by the Board of Registered Nursing, Department of Consumer Affairs, as its Decision in this matter.

This Decision shall become effective on March 8, 2013.

IT IS SO ORDERED February 6, 2013.

Raymond Mallel, President Board of Registered Nursing

Department of Consumer Affairs

State of California

1	KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California	
2	JAMES M. LEDAKIS	
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General ERIN M. SUNSERI	
3	Deputy Attorney General	
4	State Bar No. 207031	
5	110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100 San Diego, CA 92101	
ر	P.O. Box 85266	•
6	San Diego, CA 92186-5266	
7	Telephone: (619) 645-2071 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061	
	Attorneys for Complainant	
8	REFO	RE THE
9	BOARD OF REGISTERED NURSING	
10	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
10	STATE OF	ALIFORNIA
11		G N 0010 170
12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 2012-178
13	CYNTHIA HERNANDEZ 35751 Gateway Drive, L-1229	OAH No. 2011120866
ĺ	Palm Dessert, CA 92211	STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AND
14	AND	DISCIPLINARY ORDER FOR PUBLIC
15		REPROVAL
16	78880 Sanita Drive La Quinta, CA 92253	[Bus. & Prof. Code § 495]
17	Registered Nurse License No. 591197	,
18	Respondent.	No.
	Respondent	
19		]
20	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between the parties to the above-	
21	entitled proceedings that the following matters are true:	
22	<u>PARTIES</u>	
23	1. Louise R. Bailey, M.Ed., RN (Complainant) is the Executive Officer of the Board of	
24	Registered Nursing. She brought this action solely in her official capacity and is represented in	
25	this matter by Kamala D. Harris, Attorney General of the State of California, by Erin M. Sunseri,	
26	Deputy Attorney General.	
27	2. Respondent Cynthia Hernandez (Respondent) is representing herself in this	
28	proceeding and has chosen not to exercise her right to be represented by counsel.	
		1

28 ||

3. On or about November 14, 2001, the Board of Registered Nursing issued Registered Nurse License No. 591197 to Respondent. The Registered Nurse License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought in Accusation No. 2012-178 and will expire on May 31, 2013, unless renewed.

# **JURISDICTION**

4. Accusation No. 2012-178 was filed before the Board of Registered Nursing (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs, and is currently pending against Respondent. The Accusation and all other statutorily required documents were properly served on Respondent on September 27, 2011. Respondent timely filed her Notice of Defense contesting the Accusation. A copy of Accusation No. 2012-178 is attached as Exhibit "A" and incorporated herein by reference.

# **ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS**

- Respondent has carefully read, and understands the charges and allegations in
   Accusation No. 2012-178. Respondent has also carefully read, and understands the effects of this
   Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order for Public Reproval.
- 6. Respondent is fully aware of her legal rights in this matter, including the right to a hearing on the charges and allegations in the Accusation; the right to be represented by counsel at her own expense; the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses against her; the right to present evidence and to testify on her own behalf; the right to the issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents; the right to reconsideration and court review of an adverse decision; and all other rights accorded by the California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws.
- 7. Respondent voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waives and gives up each and every right set forth above.

# **CULPABILITY**

8. Respondent understands and agrees that the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 2012-178, if proven at a hearing, constitute cause for imposing discipline upon her Registered Nurse License.

9. For the purpose of resolving the Accusation without the expense and uncertainty of further proceedings, Respondent agrees that, at a hearing, Complainant could establish a factual basis for the charges in the Accusation, and that Respondent hereby gives up her right to contest those charges.

10. Respondent agrees that her Registered Nurse License is subject to discipline and she agrees to be bound by the Board's terms as set forth in the Disciplinary Order below.

# **CONTINGENCY**

- Respondent understands and agrees that counsel for Complainant and the staff of the Board of Registered Nursing may communicate directly with the Board regarding this stipulation and settlement, without notice to or participation by Respondent. By signing the stipulation, Respondent understands and agrees that she may not withdraw her agreement or seek to rescind the stipulation prior to the time the Board considers and acts upon it. If the Board fails to adopt this stipulation as its Decision and Order, the Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order for Public Reproval shall be of no force or effect, except for this paragraph, it shall be inadmissible in any legal action between the parties, and the Board shall not be disqualified from further action by having considered this matter.
- 12. The parties understand and agree that facsimile copies of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order for Public Reproval, including facsimile signatures thereto, shall have the same force and effect as the originals.
- 13. This Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order for Public Reproval is intended by the parties to be an integrated writing representing the complete, final, and exclusive embodiment of their agreement. It supersedes any and all prior or contemporaneous agreements, understandings, discussions, negotiations, and commitments (written or oral). This Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order for Public Reproval may not be altered, amended, modified, supplemented, or otherwise changed except by a writing executed by an authorized representative of each of the parties.

STIPULATED SETTLEMENT (2012-178)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

# **ENDORSEMENT** Consumer Affairs.

The foregoing Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order for Public Reproval is hereby respectfully submitted for consideration by the Board of Registered Nursing of the Department of Respectfully submitted, Dated: KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California James M. Ledakis Supervising Deputy Attorney General 

> Deputy Attorney General Attorneys for Complainant

SD2011700399 70560522.doc

# Exhibit A

Accusation No. 2012-178

1	KAMALA D. HARRIS		
2	Attorney General of California JAMES M. LEDAKIS		
3 .	Supervising Deputy Attorney General ERIN M. SUNSERI		
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 207031		
5	110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100 San Diego, CA 92101		
6	P.O. Box 85266 San Diego, CA 92186-5266		
. 7	Telephone: (619) 645-2071 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061		
8	Attorneys for Complainant		
9	BEFORE THE BOARD OF REGISTERED NURSING		
10	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
ľ	STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against: Case No. 2012-178		
12	CYNTHIA HERNANDEZ		
13	78880 Sanita Drive La Quinta, CA 92253 ACCUSATION		
14			
15	Registered Nurse License No. 591197		
16	Respondent.		
17			
18	Complainant alleges:		
19	<u>PARTIES</u>		
20	1. Louise R. Bailey, M.Ed., RN (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her		
21	official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Registered Nursing, Department of		
22	Consumer Affairs.		
23	2. On or about November 14, 2001, the Board of Registered Nursing issued Registered		
24	Nurse License Number 591197 to Cynthia Hernandez (Respondent). The Registered Nurse		
25	License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will		
26	expire on May 31, 2013, unless renewed.		
27 ·			
28	<i>///</i>		
ļ	1		

Accusation

#### JURISDICTION.

- 3. This Accusation is brought before the Board of Registered Nursing (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.
- 4. Section 2750 of the Business and Professions Code (Code) provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may discipline any licensee, including a licensee holding a temporary or an inactive license, for any reason provided in Article 3 (commencing with section 2750) of the Nursing Practice Act.
- 5. Section 2764 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the expiration of a license shall not deprive the Board of jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary proceeding against the licensee or to render a decision imposing discipline on the license. Under section 2811(b) of the Code, the Board may renew an expired license at any time within eight years after the expiration.

# STATUTORY PROVISIONS

6. Section 2761 of the Code states, in pertinent part:

The board may take disciplinary action against a certified or licensed nurse or deny an application for a certificate or license for any of the following:

- (a) Unprofessional conduct, which includes, but is not limited to, the following:
- (1) Incompetence, or gross negligence in carrying out usual certified or licensed nursing functions.

#### REGULATORY PROVISIONS

7. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1442, states:

As used in Section 2761 of the code, 'gross negligence' includes an extreme departure from the standard of care which, under similar circumstances, would have ordinarily been exercised by a competent registered nurse. Such an extreme departure means the repeated failure to provide nursing care as required or failure to provide care or to exercise ordinary precaution in a single situation which the nurse knew, or should have known, could have jeopardized the client's health or life.

8. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1443, states:

As used in Section 2761 of the code, 'incompetence' means the lack of possession of or the failure to exercise that degree of learning, skill, care and experience ordinarily possessed and exercised by a competent registered nurse as described in Section 1443.5.

#### COST RECOVERY

9. Section 125.3 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.

#### FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Incompetence)

- 10. At all times herein mentioned, Respondent was employed as a registered nurse at the California Institute for Women (CIW), located in Corona, California.
- 11. On or about November 6, 2007, at approximately 3:10 p.m., Respondent wrote an order from "Dr. M.," for inmate A.T., for "Ristoril 50mg" P.O. B.I.D. (by mouth, twice per day) times 7 days (for treatment of withdrawal from benzodiazepines).
- 12. Respondent's supervisor (C.G.) checked the 2008 Nursing Drug Book (Drug Book), and discovered that this medication does not exist. C.G. found a medication called "Restoril," which is prescribed for insomnia and not carried on the CIW formulary. C.G. determined that a clarification of the physician's order was necessary.
- 13. C.G. called Respondent for clarification of the order. Respondent stated that she had spoken with Dr. M., and that he had ordered "Ristoril." C.G. advised Respondent that "Ristoril" does not come in 50mg. Respondent responded "I thought that is what he said."
- 14. Respondent requested the Drug Book from C.G., and looked up the medication in an effort to determine what the correct order should be. Respondent changed the order in front of C.G., and then denied she had changed the order.

- 15. Respondent modified the incorrect "Ristoril" order by changing the "I" to an "E," which is not the standard practice of putting a line through the error and rewriting the order. C.G. believed that Respondent was attempting to cover her mistake, rather than clarify the order.
- 16. C.G. asked Respondent about contacting Dr. M., but Respondent said he was not answering. Respondent said she would call "Dr. H." Respondent then advised C.G. that Dr. H. had given an order for Ativan.
- 17. C.G. did not believe the order for Ativan made sense, so she called Dr. H. and gave him all of the patient information, to include the discontinued medication orders. Dr. H. cancelled the Ativan, and gave an order for "Vistaril," which is what C.G. believed may have been the original order. C.G. stated that when you discontinue Trazadone, Vistaril is usually given instead.
- 18. Respondent ordered "Restoril 50mg." Had inmate A.T. been medicated, it could have been a catastrophic error as the maximum dose is 8mg per day. The order was "bounced back" for clarification, as "Restoril" is not a CDCR formulary medication as it may be addictive or cause adverse side effects.
- 19. Respondent did not comprehend the intention of the prescribing physician as it related to the treatment for withdrawal from benzodiazepines. Respondent was not familiar with the common dosages, in addition to the correct spelling, of the prescribed medications.
- 20. Respondent failed to act as the patient's advocate by ensuring the intended medication and dosage was being provided to the inmate. Respondent failed to transcribe a medication order ensuring the correct medication and dosage. It is incumbent upon Respondent to clarify the order with the prescribing physician or other responsible physician. Respondent failed to clarify the order for which she was responsible, creating a delay in necessary treatment intended to alleviate the symptoms of withdrawal from benzodiazepines.
- 21. Respondent was unfamiliar with the serving pharmacy's formulary vs. special order available medications.
- 22. Respondent failed to demonstrate the correct method of indicating an error in transcription.

#### SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Gross Negligence)

- 23. On or about October 12, 2007, Respondent was given eight orders to note by "Dr. L.," a staff psychiatrist at CIW. Dr. L hand carried the orders to Respondent. Respondent advised Dr. L that she was busy and unable to transcribe the orders until Monday. (October 12, 2007 was a Friday). Dr. L. requested Respondent endorse the orders to the oncoming nurse for transcription if Respondent really was incapable of completing it herself that day.
- 24. Respondent did not note the orders. The orders were found by another nurse on October 15, 2007. Dr. L. was surprised to discover the orders were not actually transcribed until Monday, October 15, 2007, when they were discovered by another nurse.
- 25. Respondent's failure to note the orders caused a three day delay in the patients' receiving their prescribed medications. The copies of the orders for the eight patients are very clear with regard to the time written and the delay noted by the other nurse.
- 26. When she was asked why she did not note the orders, Respondent denied speaking with Dr. L., and denied even knowing Dr. L., which is contrary to what Dr. L. stated.
- 27. A reasonable and prudent nurse who is invested in the welfare of her patients would have either noted and initiated the medication orders, or passed on to the relief shift the responsibility for transcribing the orders.
- 28. CIW nurses have access to the PYXIS machine so that medications can be pulled throughout the weekend in lieu of pharmacy staff providing them. There is no justification demonstrated for delaying the transcription of the orders.
- 29. Respondent's actions, particularly because eight patients were affected, constitutes gross negligence.

: || ///

5 || ///

| | ///

III

# WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, 2 and that following the hearing, the Board of Registered Nursing issue a decision: 3 1. Revoking or suspending Registered Nurse License Number 591197, issued to Cynthia 4 Hernandez; 5 2. Ordering Cynthia Hernandez to pay the Board of Registered Nursing the reasonable 6 costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions 7 Code section 125.3; 8 Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper. 9 10 11 12 13 LOUISE R. BAILEY, M.ED. **Executive Officer** 14 Board of Registered Nursing Department of Consumer Affairs 15 State of California Complainant 16 17 SD2011700399 80500873.doc 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

1

PRAYER

# Exhibit B

Letter of Public Reproval in Case No. 2012-178



STATE AND CONSUMER SERVICES AGENCY . GOVERNOR EDMUND G. BROWN JR.

Board of Registered Nursing
P O Box 944210, Sacramento, CA 94244-2100
P (916) 322-3350 | www.rn.ca.gov
Louise R. Bailey, M.ED., RN, Executive Officer



February 6, 2013

Cynthia Hernandez 40393 Fabrizio Ct. Indio, CA 92203

**AND** 

78880 Sanita Drive La Quinta, CA 92253

RE:

LETTER OF PUBLIC REPROVAL

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:

Cynthia Hernandez, Registered Nurse License No. 591197

Dear Ms. Hernandez:

On September 27, 2011, the Board of Registered Nursing, Department of Consumer Affairs, State of California, filed an Accusation against your Registered Nurse License. The Accusation alleged that you engaged in unprofessional conduct under Business and Professions Code section 2761; and California Code of regulations, title 16, sections 1442 and 1443 regarding events which took place in 2007, wherein you were alleged to have made an error in writing a medication order for one patient on one date, and delaying notation of medication orders on another date.

Taking into consideration the documented personal and professional circumstances surrounding your employment at the time, including a negative work environment and the failure of supervisors to promote effective nursing care, and that there are other mitigating circumstances in this case that support the determination that you are safe to practice registered nursing, the Board has decided that the charges warrant a public reproval.

Accordingly, in resolution of this matter under the authority provided under Business and Professions Code section 495, the Board of Registered Nursing, Department of Consumer Affairs issues this letter of public reproval.

Sincerely,

LOUISE R. BAILEY, MED., R.N.

Executive Officer Board of Registered Nursing

Department of Consumer Affairs

State of California